Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Project Plan for Developing Criteria and Indicators for Wisconsin's Forests

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Since the early 1990's there has been a movement worldwide to seek out a method to measure and quantify sustainable forestry. From this movement the method of using criteria and indicators (C&I) to measure various aspects of the forest, as well as monitor continued progress towards sustainable forest management was created. This method is now being used world and nation-wide. Wisconsin has participated in the development of several C&I systems on both regional and national scales, and has yet to address this process on a statewide scale and specifically monitor and evaluate forest sustainability in Wisconsin.

The overall project goal is to provide the Wisconsin Council on Forestry, our partners and cooperators, Wisconsin citizens, and potential purchasers of Wisconsin forest products with a comprehensive, but manageable set of indicators to assist them in understanding Wisconsin's forest conditions and trends. The project will collect data on a set of indicators that is broad enough to provide the most important information needed to address the five goals of the *Statewide Forest Plan*, yet focused enough to allow efficient and cost-effective assessment and tradeoff analysis to be completed in a timely fashion for policy analysis.

The Wisconsin Council on Forestry can play an important role in the development of statewide C&I by engaging a broad array of interested forestry partners in the decision making process. In addition to providing leadership for statewide C&I development, the Council can serve as a focal point for engaging existing forestry partners and identifying new partners that have a direct interest in C&I development. Formal support from the Council would also provide official backing for this initiative and bring a sense of credibility to the C&I development process.

The approach described in this plan is tailored after the system used by the Oregon Department of Forestry. Oregon has moved through this process and in July of this year published a draft of 19 indicators along with metrics that they have chosen for the state. DNR Forestry staff has researched and reviewed criteria and indicator processes and models and have determined Oregon's process to be one of the most organized and coherent. Oregon staff have also been very helpful, offering assistance to Wisconsin's process as needed.

Ultimately the indicators chosen will provide documentation and credibility to Wisconsin's sustainable management practices. C&I will evolve as time moves ahead and values change, but they will continue to be a part of the planning and monitoring process to ensure the continued sustainable management of Wisconsin's forests.

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Project Plan for Developing Criteria and Indicators for Wisconsin's Forests

In the pursuit of sustainability we must simultaneously control our population, feed our hungry, retain our forests, and leave happy choices for future generations. Like the search for the Holy Grail, the seems likely to elude us, but the quest is essential

--Donald W. Floyd¹

Sustainability is a complex idea involving environmental, social, and economic factors. Forest sustainability considers the following:

- How to retain and use forests to meet human needs.
- How to preserve the health of forest ecosystems in perpetuity.
- How to make ethical choices that preserve options for future generations.²

Purpose

The purpose of this plan is to describe the process that the Wisconsin Council on Forestry proposes to implement with its partners to execute a process and assessment procedure for Wisconsin's progress towards sustainably managing its public and privately-owned forest resources.

The goal is to provide the Wisconsin Council on Forestry, our partners and cooperators, Wisconsin citizens, the Department of Natural Resources, and potential purchasers of Wisconsin forest products with a comprehensive, but manageable set of indicators to assist them in understanding Wisconsin's forest conditions and trends.^{*}

Project Objectives

The primary objective of this project is two-fold. The first is to decide on a set of criteria and indicators for Wisconsin, and then to collect data on that set of indicators. The indicators chosen need to be large enough to provide the most important information needed to address the five goals of the *Statewide Forest Plan*, yet small enough to allow efficient assessment and tradeoff analysis to be completed in a timely fashion for policy analysis. The indicators will be a mix of spatial and non-spatial data that can be used to display the condition of Wisconsin's forests at multiple scales (i.e., the eco-region, county, or watershed scale), depending on the policy question. The following are suggested characteristics of good indicators:

- <u>Relevant--</u> Indicators should be clearly related and relevant to the five goals of the *Statewide Forest Plan*.
- <u>Understandable</u>__Indicators should be clear in content: easily understandable, with units that make sense, expressed in imaginable, not eye-glazing, numbers. The indicator should pass the common sense test applied by the general public.

¹ Donald W. Floyd, *Forest Sustainability: The History, the Challenge, the Promise* (North Carolina: Forest History Society, 2002), 77.

² USDA Forest Service, <<u>http://www.na.fs.fed.us/sustainability/</u>> (15 June 2006)

^{*} Format follows Oregon Department of Forestry, Project Plan for Developing Sustainable Forest Management Indicators for Oregon's Forests and Assessing Progress.

<http://www.oregon.gov/ODF/RESOURCE_PLANNING/Sustainable_Forest_Indicators_Project.shtml> (20 June 2006)

- <u>Measurable</u>—Indicators should be measurable on a consistent, reliable basis, using well-defined data that can be compiled without long delays.
- <u>Policy relevant</u>—Indicators should be relevant for all stakeholders in the system, including the least powerful.
- <u>Feasible</u>—The value of the information provided by an indicator should exceed the cost to gather it.
- <u>Sufficient to the purpose</u>—Indicators should not contain too much information to comprehend, nor too little information to give an adequate picture of the situation.
- <u>Sensitive to change</u>—Changes in the forest, whether from human actions or natural changes, should elicit a response in an indicator in time to act on it.
- <u>Scale appropriate</u>__Indicators should be measurable at an appropriate scale and not over- or under-aggregated.
- <u>Compatible</u>—With the exception of locally important indicators, indicators should "roll up" into State, regional, and national efforts to define criteria and indicators of forest sustainability.

The second objective is to form a partnership with other agencies and organizations to create a common language used to communicate about forest conditions and monitor trends over time. The 18 C&I proposed as a starting point, are established by the Northeastern Area Association of State Foresters and the United States Department of Agriculture-Forest Service (NAASF/USDA-FS). Wisconsin is a member of this program and has made a previous commitment to monitor these 18 C&I. Data is available for these indicators and the C&I identified have already been acknowledged as relevant to local situations in Wisconsin. As the plan moves forward, DNR Forestry would like to coordinate data collection with other state and federal agencies to create economies of data collection. The resulting information can feed directly into future regional, national, and international evaluations of sustainable forest management. For example, Wisconsin data may be used in the update of the National Report on Sustainable Forests planned for 2008.

Background

Sustainability has emerged worldwide as the most recent unifying concept in forest management. While individual definitions of sustainability differ slightly in their details, there is generally broad based support that sustainable forestry focuses on meeting the needs of current generations, while protecting the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. Throughout this process it is important to keep in mind that sustainability is an ever changing value that we place on forests. As technology, society, and populations change, so will future generations' definition of sustainability.

The five goals of the *Statewide Forest Plan* form a framework around which forest sustainability issues can be organized and discussed and to identify the outcomes the Wisconsin Council on Forestry wants to achieve from a statewide perspective. The 18 C&I, their metrics, and data details have been organized under the five goals in Appendix A. This information can serve as a starting point for choosing C&I for Wisconsin. These 18 C&I should be evaluated for their relevance in the state, and other C&I, potentially from the Montreal 67 could be added to the state list if deemed necessary for sustainable management. Once C&I have been agreed upon, adopted, and in are place, these C&I can provide the Wisconsin Council on Forestry and other policy-makers information describing the environmental, social, and economic conditions at the landscape scale, and provide a cost-effective way to consistently collect important data needed to monitor changes in these conditions over time.

Indicators can produce the additional benefits of conveying critical and complex information more simply to build public confidence and facilitate better communication and cooperation among all parties interested in forest resources.

The indicators should be viewed as being similar to measuring sticks. Indicators provide a tool to make policy objectives measurable. They can tell us what current conditions and trends *are*, but they do not tell us what the desired conditions or objectives *should be*. Determining how to collect data and report data for each indicator is a technical task. Determining what to measure and what the desired condition or range of conditions are political tasks informed by science. These political discussions must consider how best to integrate the environmental, economic, and social benefits of Wisconsin's forests over time.

The endorsement of the use of core indicators is not intended to limit in any way the information collected about our forests. More extensive and detailed monitoring, research, and assessments are encouraged to supplement core indicator data. On the other hand, the use of indicators can help to prioritize and focus limited scientific resources towards issues that are most important to policy-makers.

Assessments that feed information into the core indicators will provide better knowledge of current forest conditions and trends, plus answer questions about inter-resource trade-offs. It is vital for policy-makers to use this information to integrate the needs and values of Wisconsinites into policy proposals. Work has already been moving in this direction through DNR Forestry's ongoing Forest Assessments. It is envisioned that assessment information, based the indicator framework, will play a key role in the next update of the *Statewide Forest Plan*.

Government has traditionally counted outputs (i.e., the number of inspections, plans written, fires suppressed, reports, etc.) to demonstrate compliance with laws or participation in programs. In most cases, compliance with the rules or participation in the program is assumed to lead to achievement of the goal or objective. However, since outputs do not necessarily translate into outcomes, the approach of focusing on program participation does not guarantee that landscape level effectiveness goals like conserving native plants and animals or maintaining productive capacity will be met. Without measurable objectives, government programs can also overshoot the goal or have unintended consequences.

By selecting indicators and desired outcomes based on those indicators, Wisconsin will have a valuable set of tools to describe, and measure progress towards, future conditions of the sustainable forest.

<u>Guiding Principles</u>³

The following principles can be used to guide the use of sustainable forest management indicators:

1. **Constructive dialogue works best:** Our society performs best when we find ways to share our common interests democratically and fairly through constructive communication and consensual agreement. Use of sustainable forest management indicators can lead to clear, unambiguous, consensual, public policy decisions that will help prevent confrontation and debate as well as challenges to resource managers by diverse public interest groups pursuing their own particular preferences.

³ John Fedkiw, D.W. MacCleery, and V.A. Sample, *Pathway to Sustainability: Defining the Bounds of Forest Management* (North Carolina: Forest History Society, 2004), 7-23.

- 2. Sustainability is a journey, not a destination: Society is already in general agreement about the goal of sustainability for our resources and humanity. The pathway to sustainability belongs to all of us. It is the workplace of all resource managers and scientists as well as policymakers and resource interest groups. The struggle to live in harmony with our environment is unending a challenge for which there is no scientific, perfect, permanent, short-term solution. Vigilance and monitoring through the use of indicators become our task in steering the course to sustainability.
- 3. **Progress on the sustainability pathway is incremental and adaptive:** The dynamics and unpredictability of science, plus uncertainties about the course of markets, public preferences, and policy, as well as technology and nature, make progress adaptive—an unending learning experience for resource managers, policymakers, and the public alike. Old problems are solved, but new ones appear. Indicators are a tool for a learning society to use to advance systematically, step by step, by making informed decisions and taking sound actions that offer continuing benefits as it steers its way towards environmental, economic, and social sustainability.
- 4. A framework for discussion and measurement is needed: As a learning society, we need a framework that acknowledges the evolving nature of the pathway towards sustainability and its longer-term and larger-scale dimensions. Making that framework explicit through the use of indicators offers the opportunity to create a more communal and hopeful approach for sustaining our society and environment.
- 5. **There is a wide range of sustainable outcomes:** The uncertainties of nature and our resource science, technology, markets, values, and policy indicate that there is a range of feasible sustainable outcomes. Nature itself does not have a specific goal for its ecosystems, nor does it set targets for the future. Likewise sustainability is not a unique target or a fixed point but a wide range of acceptable or desirable outcomes. There must be a range of acceptable routes or courses to sustainability. The actual route taken is ultimately a political decision in a democratic society.
- 6. **Separating long-term and short-term decisions is critical**: Public discourse, debate, and confrontations about resource use and management tend to be concentrated on individual practices and lack a strategic understanding of how as we a society advance towards a more sustainable environmental, economy, and society. The outer bounds of sustainability involve long-term policy considerations, whereas choices on the preferable course of action are shorter-term policy considerations, much like adaptive management decisions. Current debates have been more persistent and resistant to general solution partly because we confuse the outer bounds of sustainability with the social choice for a preferred course within those bounds. Instead of trying to address them simultaneously, we must sort out the long-term policy issues of the bounds of the sustainable pathway from the short-term choice of courses within those borders. Indicators provide a needed focus on long-term policies.
- 7. **Indicators will help light the pathway to sustainability:** Selected indicators must be responsive to public values and equitably address all five *Statewide Forest Plan* goals. The information from indicator measurements will help identify emerging or developing conditions that may constitute a threat to exceed the limits (outer bounds) of sustainability and facilitate the adjustment or improvement of public policies.

Project Work Groups and Project Steps

An ad hoc Sustainable Forest Management Indicator Advisory Committee could be formed to assist the Wisconsin Council on Forestry in building broad understanding, acceptance, and support for the sustainable forest management indicator project. The Advisory Committee would be assisted by technical experts with knowledge regarding the five Statewide Forest Plan goals. State, federal, local government, tribal, and private interests should be represented.

Responsibilities of the Advisory Committee may be to:

- 1. Coordinate with technical experts to reach both strong policy and technical consensus on a set of recommended sustainable forest management indicators for use in measuring the *Statewide Forest Plan* implementation progress. Clear roles and open dialogue between the Council, the Advisory Committee, and technical work groups would be established.
- 2. Solicit and summarize broad stakeholder input on both the usefulness of the selected indicators and the desired future outcomes for these indicators.
- 3. Provide advice to the Council on desired future outcomes for the recommended indicators.
- 4. Provide advice to the State Forester on future Forest Assessment project priorities.

Proposed representation in the Advisory Committee and technical work group is as follows:

Proposed Sustainable Forest Management Indicator Advisory Committee Representation
Federal, state, and local governments
Private forestry interests
Conservation and environmental organizations
Tribes
University interests

The following table summarizes basic steps in the sustainable forest management indicator project and the point where involvement of the groups may be needed. DNR Division of Forestry would provide support to the project.

Project Steps	WI Council on Forestry Role	Advisory Committee Role	Technical Experts Role
1. Consensus on project plan	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
2. Core indicator recommendation			\checkmark
3. Feedback on indicator recommendation		\checkmark	\checkmark
4. Endorsement of Indicators	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
5. Indicator testing			\checkmark
6. Implementation Approval		\checkmark	

Project Steps	WI Council on	Advisory Committee	Technical Experts
	Forestry Role	Role	Role
7. Indicator data			
Collection			\checkmark
8. Evaluation		\checkmark	
Return to Step 2			

Concurrent Tribal Process

While the above steps are being implemented there could be a tribal input process taking place in tandem. Under the WI Council on Forestry's charge, the Division of Forestry can inform the tribes of the project, and request input from them regarding the selection and implementation of criteria and indicators for Wisconsin. Tribes will be invited to a joint meeting that will provide more in depth information and discussion on the project. Tribal input that is received will be compiled and dispersed to the Advisory Committee.

Stakeholder and Other Public Involvement

It is important that the sustainable forest management indicator project remain open and transparent to all stakeholders. Upon the Wisconsin Council of Forestry's recommendation, the Division of Forestry will implement the following three strategies to gain input and disseminate information to and from stakeholders and the public.

- 1. Coordinate stakeholder meetings at two key times in the planning process. The first will be at the beginning of the project to present the plan and request input. The second will be after criteria and indicators are chosen, as an update to the process.
- 2. Begin an email distribution list to provide stakeholders and the public periodic updates to the process.
- 3. Form an internet network where both technical and policy information regarding the core indicator development, data collection, and reporting can be exchanged. The network will also provided opportunities to link the core indicators to other related monitoring, assessment, and research efforts.

Potential Core Indicators

For initial discussion purposes the set of 18 NAASF/USDAFS indicators, arrayed across the five *Statewide Forest Plan* goals will be considered. Appendix A lists these proposed indicators, along with further information and possible data sources for each.

Project Coordination Team

Coordination of the Sustainable Forest Management Indicator Project will be the responsibility of the DNR Forestry's Bureau of Forestry Services Planning and Analysis Section, with the following individuals taking the lead:

Wendy McCown, Director, Forestry Services Mark Heyde, Chief, Planning and Analysis Section Vern Everson, Forest Resource Analyst Amy Peterson, Associate Planner

<u>Timelines</u>

September 2006	Presentation of Project Plan to WI Council on Forestry
December 2006	Advisory Committee and technical expert group formed
December 2007	Request Council endorsement of selected indicators
March 2008	Indicator testing completed and implementation underway
	Council consensus on desired future conditions for indicators;
	Internet network in place
March 2010	First cycle of indicator data collection and analysis completed
December 2010	Publish Forest Assessment, based on the core indicator results
June 2011	Public symposium to present and discuss indicator results
December 2012	Publish the new Statewide Forest Plan
	Revise and continue sustainable forest management indicator
	project

Statewide Forest Plan					
Goal 1: Forests are healthy and protected					
Criterion 3: Maintenance of Fo	rest Ecosystem Health and Vitalit	У			
Indicator 7: Area of forest land	affected by potentially damaging	agents (3.a. #15)			
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	Reporting	<u>Reporting</u>	Limitations/
			<u>Scale</u>	<u>Cycle</u>	Considerations
7.1 Tree mortality and	Annual rate of tree mortality in	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual	
damage type	Wisconsin	Forest Inventory and			
		Analysis		<u> </u>	
7.2 Wildfire	Amount of land burned by	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual	
	wildfire in Wisconsin	Fire and Aviation			
700		Management	D .		
7.3 Drought	The number of months of	NOAA, National Climatic	Region	Annual	Data for this report is
	drought in Wissensin	Data Center			division not State or
	arought in wisconsin				
7 4 Incosta discosso planta	Incosta discosos invesivo	LISDA Forest Service	Statowida	Appuel	regional levels.
7.4 Insects, diseases, plants,	plants and animals that affect	Northoastorn Area State	Statewide	Annual	
	forest health in Wisconsin	and Brivata Earastry			
		Cooperative Forest			
		Health Program			
Criterion 5: Maintenance of Fo	rest Contribution to Global Carbo	n Cycles			
Indicator11: Forest ecosystem	biomass and forest carbon pools	(5 a #26: 5 b #27: 5 b #28)			
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	Reporting	Reporting	Limitations/
<u></u>	<u> </u>		Scale	Cycle	Considerations
11.1 Forest ecosystem	Carbon in aboveground live	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual	
biomass	tree biomass in forests in	Northeastern Research			
	Wisconsin	Station, Forest Carbon			
		Dynamics and Estimation			
		Research Work Unit			
11.2 Forest carbon pools	Forest carbon pools in	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual	
	Wisconsin	Northeastern Research			
		Station, Forest Carbon			
		Dynamics and Estimation			
		Research Work Unit			
11.3 Forest carbon by forest	Current forest carbon by	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual	
type	coniferous and broad-leaved	Northeastern Research			

	0				
	forests in Wisconsin; Above	Station, Forest Carbon			
	ground tree carbon by forest	Dynamics and Estimation			
	cover type group in Wisconsin	Research Work Unit			
11.4 Change in forest carbon	Average annual change in	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual	
	forest ecosystem carbon in	Northeastern Research			
	Wisconsin	Station, Forest Carbon			
		Dynamics and Estimation			
		Research Work Unit			

Statewide Forest Plan					
Goal 2: Forests provide a diverse range of native plant and animal species and their habitats					
Criterion 1: Conservation of bi	ological diversity				
Indicator 2: Forest type, size c	lass, age class and successional	stage (1.1.b #2)			
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	Reporting	Reporting	Limitations/
			Scale	Cycle	Considerations
2.1 Forest cover type groups	Amount of forest land by forest	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual	
	type group in Wisconsin	Forest Inventory and			
		Analysis			
2.2 Size class	Amount of forest land by size	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual	
	class in Wisconsin	Forest Inventory and			
		Analysis			
2.3 Age group; successional	Amount of forest land by age	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual	
stage (text document; no	group in Wisconsin	Forest Inventory and			
data/graphs)		Analysis			

Statewide Forest Plan							
Goal 3: Forest are productiv	Goal 3: Forest are productive, providing raw material for consumers and economic stability for local communities						
Criterion 6: Maintenance and e	enhancement of long-term multiple	e socio-economic benefits to	o meet the nee	eds of societie	25		
Indicator12: Wood and wood p	products, production, consumption	, and trade (6.1.a. #29; 6.1.	c. #31; 6.1.e. ;	#33)			
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	<u>Reporting</u>	Reporting	Limitations/		
			<u>Scale</u>	Cycle	Considerations		
12.1 Value of wood-related	Total value of wood-related	U.S. Department of	Statewide	Annual			
products	product shipments in	Commerce, Census					
	Wisconsin; Value added for	Bureau, Economic					
wood-related products in Census							
	Wisconsin						

12.2 Production of roundwood	Production of roundwood harvested, by product, in Wisconsin; Production of roundwood harvested, by major species group, in Wisconsin	USDA Forest Service, Timber Product Output Database	Statewide	Annual	
12.3 Production and consumption of roundwood equivalent	Production and consumption of roundwood equivalents in Wisconsin; Per capita consumption of roundwood equivalents in Wisconsin	USDA Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory	Statewide	Annual	. Currently these data are only available at the national level.
12.4 Recovered paper	Recovered paper consumed by paper and paperboard mills in Wisconsin	American Forest and Paper Association (AF&PA)	Statewide	Annual	
12.5 Bioenergy (text report) Trade or wood flow (text report) Nontimber forest products (text report)	Use of forest resources for bioenergy	No data reports on bioenergy are available at this time.	Statewide	Annual	
Indicator16: Employment and	wages in forest-related sectors (6	.5.a. #44; 6.5.b. #45)	-	-	
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	<u>Reporting</u> Scale	Reporting Cvcle	Limitations/ Considerations
				0 0.0	
16.1 Wood-related products manufacturing employees	Wood-related products manufacturing employees in Wisconsin	U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, Economic Census	Statewide	5-year	
 16.1 Wood-related products manufacturing employees 16.2 State forestry employees 	Wood-related products manufacturing employees in Wisconsin State forestry permanent employees in Wisconsin; State forestry seasonal/temporary employees in Wisconsin	U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, Economic Census DNR Forestry	Statewide Statewide	5-year	
 16.1 Wood-related products manufacturing employees 16.2 State forestry employees 16.3 USDA Forest Service employees 	Wood-related products manufacturing employees in Wisconsin State forestry permanent employees in Wisconsin; State forestry seasonal/temporary employees in Wisconsin USDA Forest Service permanent employees in Wisconsin	U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, Economic Census DNR Forestry USDA Forest Service, Human Resources Management	Statewide Statewide Statewide	5-year Annual Annual	

	hour in Wisconsin				
16.5 State forestry salaries	State forestry employee average annual salaries in Wisconsin	DNR Forestry	Statewide	6-year	

Statewide Forest Plan Goal 4: Forests are conserved and managed with sound stewardship practices						
Criterion 1: Conservation of bi	iological diversity					
Indicator 1: Area total land, forest land, and reserved forest land (1.1a.#1; 2.a. #10; 1.1.c. #3)						
<u>Metric</u>	Description of Information	<u>Data Source</u>	<u>Reporting</u> <u>Scale</u>	Reporting Cycle	Limitations/ Considerations	
1.1 Forest and total land area	Amount of forest land in Wisconsin; Percentage of forest land and nonforest land in Wisconsin	USDA Forest Service, Forest Inventory and Analysis	Statewide	Annual		
1.2 Forest density	Forest density in Wisconsin	USDA Forest Service, Forest Inventory and Analysis	Statewide	Annual		
1.3 Forest land and population	Amount of forest land and population in Wisconsin; Amount of forest land per person in Wisconsin	U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau; USDA Forest Service, Forest Inventory and Analysis	Statewide	Annual		
1.4 Reserved forest land	Amount of reserved forest land in Wisconsin	USDA Forest Service, Forest Inventory and Analysis	Statewide	Annual		
1.5 Urban forest	Forest and tree cover in urban areas in Wisconsin	USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station, Urban Forestry Unit	Statewide	??	DNR Urban Forestry?	
Indicator 3: Extent of forest la	nd conversion, fragmentation and	parcelization (3.a. #15)	-	-		
Metric	Description of Information	<u>Data Source</u>	Reporting Scale	Reporting Cycle	Limitations/ Considerations	
3.1 Fragmentation (text report)	There are no data available at this time to directly measure forest fragmentation consistently					

3.2 Forest land developed	Acres of forest land converted	USDA Natural	Statewide	Annual	
	to developed land in	Resources Conservation			
	Wisconsin; Amount of land	Service; Natural			
	developed by land cover type	Resources Inventory			
	in Wisconsin				
3.3 Net change in forest land	Net change in forest land in	USDA Natural	Statewide	Annual	
Ũ	Wisconsin; Net change in	Resources Conservation			
	forest land to and from other	Service: Natural			
	land uses in Wisconsin	Resources Inventory			
3.4 Additions to and	Additions to forest land in	USDA Natural	Statewide	Annual	
conversions from forest	Wisconsin: Conversions from	Resources Conservation			
land	forest land in Wisconsin	Service: Natural			
		Resources Inventory			
3.5 Forest parcel sizes	Size of all privately owned	USDA Forest Service	Statewide	Annual	
	forest landholdings in	National Woodland			
	Wisconsin: Size of forest	Owner Survey			
	landholdings owned by family				
	forest owners in Wisconsin				
Indicator 4: Status of forest/wo	odland communities and associat	ted species of concern (1.2.	b. #7)		I
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	Reporting	Reporting	Limitations/
			Scale	Cvcle	Considerations
4.1 Forest and woodland	Status of forest and woodland	NatureServe	Statewide	Annual	
communities	communities in Wisconsin				
4.2 Forest-associated and all	Status of forest-associated	NatureServe	Statewide	Annual	
species	animal species in Wisconsin:				
	Status of all animal species in				
	Wisconsin				
4.3 Forest-associated	Percent of forest-associated	NatureServe	Statewide	Annual	*Of concern includes the
species of concern by	species of concern*, by				NatureServe categories
taxonomic group	taxonomic group in Wisconsin				vulnerable, imperiled
taxterierine group					and critically imperiled.
4.4 Bird populations	Estimated trends of woodland	USGS Patuxent Wildlife	Statewide	Annual	
	breeding birds in Wisconsin	Research Center, North			
		American Breeding Bird			
		Survey			
			1	1	

Appendix A: Sustainable Forest Management C&I Data Matrix ***DRAFT*** across Wisconsin.

Criterion 2: Maintenance of productive capacity of forest ecosystems							
Indicator 5: Area of timberland (5.a. #26; 5.b. #27; 5.b. #28)							
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	<u>Reporting</u>	<u>Reporting</u>	Limitations/		
			<u>Scale</u>	<u>Cycle</u>	Considerations		
5.1 Amount of timberland	Percentage of forest land	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual			
	categorized as timberland	Forest Inventory and					
	compared to reserved and	Analysis					
	other forest land in Wisconsin;	-					
	Amount of forest land						
	categorized as timberland						
	compared to reserved and						
	other forest land in Wisconsin						
Indicator 6: Annual removal of	merchantable wood volume com	pared to net growth (2.d. #1	3)				
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	Reporting	Reporting	Limitations/		
			Scale	Cycle	Considerations		
6.1 Net growth and removals	Net annual growth and	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual			
	removals of growing stock on	Forest Inventory and					
	timberland in Wisconsin	Analysis					
6.2 Type of removals	Type of growing stock	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual			
	removals on timberland in	Forest Inventory and					
	Wisconsin	Analysis					
Criterion 4: Conservation and maintenance of soil and water resources							
Indicator 8: Soil quality of fores	st land (4.a. #18; 4.d. #21; 4.e. #2	2)					
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	Reporting	Reporting	Limitations/		
			Scale	Cycle	Considerations		
8.1 Soil pH	Soil pH at 0-10 cm soil depth	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual			
·	in Wisconsin	Forest Inventory and					
		Analysis					
8.2 Total soil carbon	Total soil carbon in Wisconsin	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual			
		Forest Inventory and					
		Analysis					
8.3 Estimated bare soil	Estimated bare soil in	USDA Forest Service.	Statewide	Annual			
	Wisconsin	Forest Inventory and					
		Analysis					
8.4 Bulk density	Bulk density at 0-10 cm soil	USDA Forest Service	Statewide	Annual			
	depth in Wisconsin	Forest Inventory and					
		Analysis					
8.5 Calcium-aluminum ratio	Calcium-aluminum ratio at 0-	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual			

	10 cm soil depth in Wisconsin	Forest Inventory and				
		Analysis				
Indicator 9: Area of forest land	adjacent to surface water and for	rest land by watershed (4.b.	#19)			
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	Reporting	Reporting	Limitations/	
			Scale	Cycle	Considerations	
9.1 Forested riparian area	Percentage of riparian areas	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual		
	that are forested in Wisconsin;	Northeastern Area State				
	Forest and other land cover	and Private Forestry,				
	types in riparian areas in	Information Management				
	Wisconsin	and Analysis				
9.2 Forest land by watershed	Percentage of forest land by	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual		
	watershed in Wisconsin;	Northeastern Area State				
	Number of watersheds by	and Private Forestry,				
	percentage of watershed	Information Management				
	forested in Wisconsin	and Analysis				
Indicator 10: Water quality in fe	orested areas (4.f. #23; 4.g. #24)					
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	Reporting	Reporting	Limitations/	
			<u>Scale</u>	<u>Cycle</u>	Considerations	
10.1 Water quality in forested	Data for adequate region-wide					
areas (text report)	measurement of water quality					
	in forested areas are not					
	readily available at this time.					
10.2 Stream miles impaired	Stream miles impaired by	U.S. Environmental	Statewide	Annual		
by percentage of	sediment, nutrients, and	Protection Agency,				
watershed forested	temperature by percentage of	303(d) Impaired Waters				
	the watershed that is forested	List (GIS analysis by NA				
	in	S&PF)				
	Wisconsin					
Criterion 6: Maintenance and enhancement of long-term multiple socio-economic benefits to meet the needs of societies						
Indicator 14: Investment in fore	est health, management, research	n, and wood processing (6.3	.a. #38; 6.3.b.	#39)		
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	Reporting	Reporting	Limitations/	
			Scale	Cycle	Considerations	
14.1 USDA Forest Service	USDA Forest Service	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual		
Northeastern Area State	Northeastern Area State and	Northeastern Area State				
and Private Forestry	Private Forestry funding given	and Private Forestry,				
funding	to partners in Wisconsin	Information Management				
-		and Analysis				
14.2 State forestry agency	State forestry agency program	DNR Forestry	Statewide	Bi-annual		

tunding	tunding in Wisconsin		-		
14.3 Funding for forestry	Funding for forestry research	USDA Cooperative State	Statewide	Annual	
research at universities	at universities in Wisconsin	Research, Education,			
		and Extension Service			
		(CSREES)			
14.4 USDA Forest Service	Funding for USDA Forest	USDA Forest Service,	Statewide	Annual	
research funding	Service Research in	Research and			
	Wisconsin by research station	Development			
14.5 Capital expenditures by	Capital expenditures by	U.S. Department of	Statewide	5-year	
manufacturers of wood-	manufacturers of wood-related	Commerce, Census		-	
related products	products in Wisconsin	Bureau, Economic			
•		Census			
Indicator 15: Forest ownership	, land use, and specially designat	ed areas (1.1.c. #3; 1.1.d. #	4; 4.b. #19; 6.	4.a. #42; 7.1.	e. #52)
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	Reporting	Reporting	Limitations/
			Scale	Cycle	Considerations
15.1 Forest land ownership	Forest land ownership in	USDA Forest Service.	Statewide	Annual	
	Wisconsin	Forest Inventory and			
		Analysis			
15.2 State lands	State forests, parks, natural	DNR Forestry	Statewide	10-vear	
	areas, and fish and wildlife			, e y e al	
	areas in Wisconsin				
15.3 Protected lands	Protected areas in Wisconsin:	Conservation Biology	Statewide	Annual	
	Protected land, by ownership	Institute. Protected Areas			
	in Wisconsin	Database			
15.4 Private land with public	Private land with public	DNR Forestry	Statewide	5-vear	
conservation easements	conservation easements in		Clatomac	o you	
	Wisconsin				
15.5 Forest land in tax	Forest land in tax reduction	DNR Forestry	Statewide	10-vear	
reduction programs	programs in Wisconsin	Diviciologicy	Claiomac	i o your	
15.6 Forest certification	Amount of land certified by the	Forest Stewardship	Statewide	Annual	
	Forest Stewardship Council	Council (ESC): American	Olatomao	/ unidea	
	(ESC) in Wisconsin: Amount of	Forest & Paper			
	land certified by the	Association Sustainable			
	Sustainable Forestry Initiative	Forestry Initiative			
	(SEI) in Wisconsin: Amount of	American Forest			
	land certified by the American	Foundation Amorican			
	Tree Farm System in	Tree Farm System			
	Wiegongin	Thee Faill System			
	VVISCONSIN		1		

				ion		
Indicator 17: Forest management standards/guidelines (7.1.d. #51; 7.4.a. #60; 7.4.b. #61)						
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	<u>Reporting</u> <u>Scale</u>	<u>Reporting</u> <u>Cycle</u>	Limitations/ Considerations	
17.1 Types of forest management standards/guidelines	Types of forest management standards/guidelines in Wisconsin	DNR Forestry	Statewide	Annual		
17.2 Voluntary and mandatory standards/guidelines	Voluntary and mandatory forest management standards/guidelines applied on all State-owned forest lands in Wisconsin; Voluntary and mandatory forest management standards/guidelines applied on privately owned forest lands in Wisconsin	DNR Forestry	Statewide	Annual		
17.3 Monitoring of						
standards/guidelines						
Indicator 18: Forest related pla	nning, assessment, policy and la	w (7.1.b. #49; 7.2.b. #54)	T	1		
Metric	Description of Information	Data Source	<u>Reporting</u> <u>Scale</u>	<u>Reporting</u> <u>Cycle</u>	<u>Limitations/</u> Considerations	
18.1 State forest planning	Status of comprehensive State forest resource planning in Wisconsin; Type of planning State forestry agencies in Wisconsin have been involved with in the last 5 years	DNR Forestry	Statewide	5-year		
18.2 Private non-industry planning	Forest planning on nonindustrial private forest landForest Stewardship plan acres in Wisconsin; Forest planning on nonindustrial private forest landnumber of Forest Stewardship plans in Wisconsin	USDA Forest Service, Performance Measurement Accountability System (PMAS)	Statewide	Annual		
18.3 National forest planning	Forest planning on national forest land in Wisconsin	USDA Forest Service, Eastern Region	Statewide	Annual		
18.4 State forest	Status of comprehensive State	DNR Forestry	Statewide	5-year		

assessments	forest resource assessments in Wisconsin				
18.5 Forest laws and policies	Does Wisconsin have a forest practices and/or right to practice forestry act?	DNR Forestry	Statewide	Annual	
18.6 State forest advisory committees	Does Wisconsin have an active State forestry advisory committee?	DNR Forestry	Statewide	Annual	

Statewide Forest Plan Goal 5: Forests provide mult	tiple recreational opportunities						
Criterion 6: Maintenance and enhancement of long-term multiple socio-economic benefits to meet the needs of societies							
Indicator13: Outdoor recreational facilities and activities (6.2.b. #36; 6.2.c. #37)							
Metric	Description of Information	<u>Data Source</u>	<u>Reporting</u> <u>Scale</u>	Reporting Cycle	Limitations/ Considerations		
13.1 Participation in outdoor recreation	Outdoor recreation participation in Wisconsin; Days of participation in freshwater fishing, hunting, and wildlife watching in Wisconsin	USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station, National Survey on Recreation and the Environment; USDI Fish and Wildlife Service, National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation	Statewide	10-year; 5-year			
13.2 Federal land open to recreation	Amount of Federal land open to outdoor recreation, by agency, in Wisconsin	USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station, Recreation, Wilderness, Urban Forest, and Demographic Trends Research Unit	Statewide	Annual			
13.3 Recreational facilities on state land	Number of designated day use, overnight, and water access areas on State land in Wisconsin	DNR Forestry	Statewide	Annual			
13.4 Trails	Motorized and nonmotorized outdoor recreational trails open to the public in	DNR Forestry	Statewide	10-year			

	8				
	Wisconsin; Outdoor recreational trails open to the public, by designated trail type, in Wisconsin				
13.5 Campgrounds	Number of campgrounds on public and private land in Wisconsin; Number of campsites on public and private land in Wisconsin	DNR Forestry	Statewide	??	
13.6 Recreational facilities in national forests	Number of developed recreation sites on national forest land in Wisconsin; Miles of trails on national forest land in Wisconsin	USDA Forest Service, INFRA (Infrastructure Application)	Statewide	Annual?	